



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_20510
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects Of Incision Variation Length On Heterobranchus bidorsalis Male Spawners To Extract Milt For Induced Breeding.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author has to make the objective clear. The usual practice was to sacrifice the male fish, if his experiment is an alternative to that, he has to state clearly how male males survived, were if they died later were the carcass useful? For the exercise to worth the while the effect of the incision on the broodstock should be known. Survival of eggs is a correlate of the genetic strength of parent stock and hatchery management practices. Egg survival is not strongly related to incision length but handling.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Few arrangement of words	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ipinmoroti, Mabel O
Department, University & Country	Osun State University, Osogbo, Nigeria