



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_20799
Title of the Manuscript:	Cultivars Response to Morphological and Yield Attributes of Okra at Sylhet Region
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript includes valuable work. It contains useful growth parameters of 8 Okra cultivars, and which is useful for the further selection of potential varieties grown in acid soil conditions.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The authors should check the manuscript carefully to avoid some grammar and spelling mistakes. For example: Line 28, The fruits of Okra contained (contain) rich...Line 41, Plants grown in acidic soil differs (differ)... Line 44, some well suited variety (studied varieties)... Line 67-68, Seven days after 68 germination... the sentence should be rewritten; Line 81, Cultivars (Cultivar) response was... (The) highest ... Line 88, produced the tallest plant (plants).. Etc..	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dequan Sun
Department, University & Country	South Subtropical Crop Research Institute, China