



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEA_21730
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of sewage sludge application on cultive Raphanus sativus L.
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This paper describes a fairly standard pot experiment on sludge addition to soil. The design and execution of the experiment appears to be sound. The way to assess microbial biomass is non-standard, this reviewer does not feel competent to say whether or not it is acceptable. I would also prefer to see results as graphs rather than tables if possible. Furthermore, I do not understand the "mean" and "CV" at the bottom of each table, mean and CV of what? We need mean and standard error of each treatment, preferably as a graph. The main improvement that is needed is English language correction. The authors should get help to do this, there are many mistakes and a reviewer cannot go through all of them.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bente Foereid
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Biomedical Innovation, Norway