SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_AJEA_6207**
Title of the Manuscript:	**GROWTH RESPONSE AND NUTRIENT UTILIZATION OF Clarias gariepinus JUVENILES FED DIFFERENTLY PROCESSED SUNFLOWER (Helianthus annuus L) SEED MEAL-BASED DIETS.**
Type of the Article	**Research Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**In general the manuscript is interesting, however , the writing must be improved for final acceptance**	
Minor REVISION comments	Some grammatical mistakes and a little confuse writing were found through the text: For instance in the abstract row 19: Each treatment was that was done…. Some keywords (Performance, Water quality, Processed, Minerals) are not informative enough. Row 39: the species **is not** farmed elsewere (not in Mexico for instance) Some other mistakes or confuse terms and writing are marked in the text	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Luis R.Martinez-Cordova**
Department, University & Country	**Department of Scientific and Technological Research, University of Sonora, Mexico**