



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_19468
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification of Palestinian colored-table-grape cultivars by means of morphological and pomological descriptors
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript contains valuable data on morphological variability in Palestinian grapevine cultivars; however, I have one important comment on the manuscript. In Figure 1 where UPGMA results are presented, it has to be clerly stated in materials and methods, which characteristics were used as inputs for dendrogram construction. moreover, any statistics of the branching points (nodes) in the dendrogram is missing. I know bootstrap values - some analogy has to be provided in the dendrogram, otherwise, it is just an illustration without any scientific value. Minor comments: In keywords, UPGMA should be written in full words, i.e., "Unweighted pair-group method" Formal comments (linguistic comments). Abstract, line 10: Insert "they" in the sentence "...they could be potentially incorporated..." Line 15: Use "crops" instead of "crop" in the sentence "Grape (Vitis vinifera L.) is one of the most ancient horticultural crops worldwide." Line 20: Insert a comma before the word "especially" Line 27: Use"had" insetad of "were" in the sentence "...since many of our local cultivars had already disappeared."	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 99: use “were” instead of “was” in the sentence “..except from three parameters...(....) that were found to be constant...” Line 104: Insert a comma before the word “especially” Line 113: Correct the word order in the sentence “...could be potentially incorporated to both local and...” Lien 118: Insert “a” before the words “useful source”, i.e., “a useful source for future breeding” Line 134: Correct the abbreviation “UPGMA” (not “UBGMA”) Line 136: Correct the verb form “seems to exist” (not “seems to be exist”) Line 138: Insert a comma before the word “respectively” Line 151: Modify the sentence as follows: “Based on our similarity results, a number of local colored-table-grape genotypes might decrease, which, thereby, will save time and efforts for any future breeding program.”	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Klara Kosova
Department, University & Country	Crop Research Institute, Prague, Czech Republic