



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>American Journal of Experimental Agriculture</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_15876
Title of the Manuscript:	Oceanic Niño Index as a tool to determine, in advance, the effect of rain on coffee cultivation in Colombia
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	-Writing of abstract should be in block form and not necessarily include the word aims, place and duration of study, methodology, results and conclusion. What is important is that all of these should content the abstract of the study. - if you used number in your citation such as in line 13, Peña et al. need not to be written in the text but should be written in full in your reference corresponding to no. [1] as mentioned. This applies to all citations.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Suggested title: Oceanic Niño Index as a tool to determine the effect of weather on coffee plantation in Colombia	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	There were grammatical and typo errors found in the paper that needs to be addressed.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Philippines