



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJEA_16219
Title of the Manuscript:	Profit Efficiency of Cocoyam Production in Kaduna State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Use of a profit frontier is problematic because profits can be negative. The author did not comment on this. 2. The discussion at the beginning of Section 3.2 should be revised. "Significant" sigma squared does not imply that the Cobb-Douglas model is adequate. 3. Nearly all of the discussion is about the impact of variables on the profit function itself, not on efficiency. Only one barely adequate paragraph is about inefficiency.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	USA