SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_12412**
Title of the Manuscript:	**The Prospects of Brown Cane Sugar–Cattle Integration for Rural Economic Development in South Sulawesi, Indonesia**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The summary this good, just need to improve the discussion of some results and check some references	
Optional/General comments	In my opinion the paper is closer to a review of a case study, presents many flaws in the writing besides show no significant statistical test, it may be that the conceptual model is good, portrays the need for an integrated production to another culture becomes more profitable for small producers mainly Not present any figure of the study site. **Ethical issues - yes**	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Brazil**