SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_AJEA_17271**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effects of Shoot Tip and Flower Removal on Growth and Yield of Spider Plant (Cleome Gynandra L.) in Kenya**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Ethical issues** **Yes: The increase in yield after flower removal**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	I am not familiar with the way references are listed without following the alphabet order. For the sake of consistency in science the reference need to be revisited. Include acknowledgement.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Malawi**