SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_AJEA_16219**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Profit Efficiency of Cocoyam Production in Kaduna State, Nigeria.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	First sentences may me start authors surname. In Table 1 what is the mean of tertiary? Most of literatures did not give in literature section, especially after the 8 pages. And also literature section 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, numbers' literatures are not in the text.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Turkey**