



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJEA_17111
Title of the Manuscript:	Field Evaluation of Levo Botanical Insecticide for the Management of Insect Pests of Eggplant (<i>Solanum melongena</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	LINE 2: THE AUTHOR SHOULD SHOW THE LINK AND AVOID REPETITION(VEGETABLE ARE IMPORTANT, VEGETABLE ARE IMPORTANT,) 2) PROVIDE CURRENT REFERENCES FOR EXPORT TRENDS OF THE VEGETABLE EITHER IN GHANA OR WORLD AT LARGE. 3)REFERENCES OF THOSE LISTED INSECTS 4) UNDER HEADING 3.4 THERE IS NEED TO PROVIDE TOTAL ARE SINCE YOU WILL CONERT TO YIELD/HACTARE. 5) UNDER HEADING 3.5 PLEASE SPECIFY THE DATES OF TRANSPLANTING. 6) THOSE TREATMENTS SHOULD BE UNDER 3.4 HEADING 7) EXPLAIN OR INCLUDE THE REASONS OF SAMPLING IN THE MORNING 8) UNDER 3.9 HEADING, I THINK YOU SHOULD WRITE AS FOLLOWS: THE FOLLOWING DATA WERE ALSO RECODED/ESTIMATED.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	THE PAPER IS GOOD	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	South Africa