



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJEA_17065
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Bioinorganic Combinations on Yield, Quality and Economics of Mungbean
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1) In Part 1. Introduction - the goal of the paper is missed. In 2.1. Experimental site, there is a sentence which describes somehow the goal. The goal needs a better and more explicit definition. 2) In 2.1. Experimental site – more explicit information about the site is needed. Please, write the geographical coordinates and the elevation of the site. You may put a map of the situation in the country. 3) In Material and methods – no explanation of the methods of phonological observations, yield and yield attributes establishments, chemical analyses and economic indices 4) In Material and methods – no explanations on the statistical analysis used 5) In Table 2, column Yield (q/ha) - the measurement probably is not correct 6) No similar results from foreign literature are cited. 7) <u>No CONCLUSIONS at the end of the text.</u>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1) In Table 1 – must be Plant stand m⁻² instead of Plant stand/m⁻² (no back slash needed or no minus together with back slash) 2) In Table 2, column Net return and rows 103 and 104 – use the code INR for the Indian Rupee than the Indian Rupee sign 3) In Table 2, column B:C ratio – no clear specification under the table what B:C ratio means	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1) Fig. 1 – Better illustrate the data by bars cause there is no connection between the data 2) In Part 2. Materials and Methods – some description of the biological properties of the crop will improve the text	
---	---	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Milena Moteva
Department, University & Country	University of Architecture, Institute of Soil Science, Bulgaria