



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2015_AJEA_17209
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Spray Tip and Spray Volume With Imazapic and Imazethapyr on Selected Weed Species
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The title could give more idea from conclusion section, not from methods. The objectives are too methodological, not scientific hypotheses. Biotic factors, as plants density and size, were not taking in account for discussion section. There is no adequate control to compare results from the years 2006 and 2007. There is no climate data to support the conclusions. The statistical analyses were unappropriated and inconclusive, only based on percentages. There is no statistical meaning in "Excellent control". There is more information in the conclusions section than discussion section. This is inappropriate. Outdated references.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Brazil