



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_13891
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation between intake and feeding behavior of Holstein calves supplemented with pelleted and mash
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 2 -3: The title should be rephrased to read as indicated in the corrections on the article for better understanding. Line 20: The authors should take a critical look at the key words to reflect a true picture of the study carried out. Line 114 and 245: The referencing should be reconciled. Line 120. Author quoted not in the reference section. Please include. The referencing style does not conform to the specified style prescribed by this journal. Authors should reorganize this section.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	These have been highlighted in the comment boxes line by line in the text. Authors should take note where queries were raised and make changes were necessary.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	These have been highlighted in the comment boxes line by line in the text. Authors should take note where queries were raised and make changes were necessary.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Nigeria