SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_12412**
Title of the Manuscript:	**The Prospects of Brown Cane Sugar–Cattle Integration** **for Rural Economic Development in South Sulawesi, Indonesia**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	I believe that the manuscript contains all essential parts of a scientific paper. It is within the scope of the journal and it also contains good information about the subject investigated. However, in my opinion the manuscript was not properly written. It contains too many errors . I suggest authors can submit the text to native English speaker and resubmit.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Brazil**