



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_12126
Title of the Manuscript:	Change of the Microorganisms Quantity in Irrigative Grey-Brown and Grey-Meadow Soils under Vegetable Cultures
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. INTRODUCTION Line 46 : Dobrovolsky et al., 1985 Line 52 : Polyanskii et al. (1990) Line 56-57: (Voynova-Roykova et al., 1986; Line 59 : Larionova et al., 2006 Kazeev et al., 2004) 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS: Line 65: 2. OBJECTS AND METHODS Line 67: 2.1 Objects Line 83: 2.2 Schema Line 97: Methods RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: Line 117: Bab'eva et al., 1989, Line 158: Mishustin's opinion (1988) Line 123-174: Dynamics of microorganisms quantity in irrigative grey-brown soils Line 223, 253-254 : Dzhanayev et al., 2007 Line 230: Babayev et al., 2009 Line 232 : Polyanskii et al., 1990 Line 232: Mukha et al., 1983 Line 245, 247-248: Pol'yakova et al., 2007 Line 237: Bab'eva et al., 1989 REFERENCES: Authors must follow the instructions to authors of the journal (Citation in text and references) Line 302: 2006;304–306.	

Comment [t1]: Insert: MATERIALS AND METHODS

Comment [t2]: Remove it and describe the populations of the main taxonomic groups of microorganisms studied.

Comment [t3]: Remove it

Comment [t4]: Remove it

Comment [t5]: Authors should support the discussion with the previous work (Orujova (2006, 2007) for examples.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Results are of good quality and relevant for the studied subject. This work is original and interesting enough to be published	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Cameroon