



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_ARRB_10263
Title of the Manuscript:	A REVIEW OF HOST-PARASITE RELATIONSHIPS
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>This review covers terminology and definitions of all types of Host-Parasite relationships. It provides a convenient summary of host-parasite associations with specific examples and should be useful for students and beginning researchers.</p> <p>One topic that should be added is how long these parasitic relationships have been in existence. For instance there is no mention of the abundant work done on the fossil record of these associations. This is an important aspect of host-parasitic relationships and some examples and discussion should be included to make the paper more complete.</p> <p>It is also obvious that many of the references are incomplete and need to be checked.</p>	<p>I appreciate the suggestions made by the reviewer. However, this paper is already voluminous. I suggest that the paper be published the way it is to make for easy reading. Other corrections have been effected.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		