



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name: | Annual Research & Review in Biology |
| Manuscript Number: | 2014_ARRB_11023 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | ORYCTES RHINOCEROS BEETLES, AN OIL PALM PEST IN MALAYSIA |
| Type of the Article | Mini review Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | <p>Line 14: ...introduction into... what? Incomplete sentence</p> <p>Line 25: a comma should follow subsequently.</p> <p>Line 49: comprises of four stages... should change comprises four stages...</p> <p>Line 68: lower then... should be changed to lower than...</p> <p>Line 162: Lamdacyhalothrin to be changed to lambdacyhalothrin</p> <p>Line 162: monochrotophos to be changed to monocrotophos</p> <p>Line 167: trailed to be changed to tried</p> <p>Line 173: trailed to be changed to tried</p> <p>Line 207: trailed to be changed to tried</p> | <p>Line 14- corrected- introduction into Malaysia.</p> <p>Line 25- corrected- refer to text</p> <p>Line 49- corrected- refer to text</p> <p>Line 68- corrected- refer to text</p> <p>Line 162- corrected- refer to text</p> <p>Line 162- corrected- refer to text</p> <p>Line 167- corrected- refer to text</p> <p>Line 173- corrected- refer to text</p> <p>Line 207- corrected- refer to text</p> |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | A good review article | |