



SDI Review Form 1.5

PART A:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012/BJAST/1716
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on the Distribution of Heavy Metals in Different Tissues of Fishes from River Benue in Vinikilang, Adamawa State, Nigeria.

(Note: Title of different sections as proposed below may differ in case of review paper)



SDI Review Form 1.5

PART B: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part and write here 'Corrected'/ if not agreed, give suitable justifications)
General comment:	This work is a good example of a study considering the heavy metal distribution in the fish	
Specific comments:	Manuscript should be shortened	
Title and abstract	Title is Appropriate and the abstract accurately reflects the content	
Introduction (Is the problem/objective of this study original, important and well defined?)	The purpose or thesis of the article is stated clearly	
Are all the references cited relevant, adequate? Are there any other suitable current references authors need to cite?	YES	
Materials & methods (Kindly comment on the suitability of the methods. Sufficient details of the methods should be provided to allow peers to evaluate and/or replicate the work)	The research study methods are sound and appropriate	
Results & discussion (Kindly comment on: 1. Are the data well controlled and robust? 2. Authors should provide relevant references during discussion. 3. Discussion and conclusions should be based on actual facts and figures. Biased claims should be pointed out. 4. Are statistical analyses must for this paper? If yes, have sufficient and appropriate statistical analyses been carried out?)	1. YES 2. YES 3. YES 4. The article adequately ties to the relevant literature	
Are there any ethical or competing interest issues?	NO	
Conclusion (Is the conclusion supported by the data, discussed inside the manuscript? Conclusions should not be biased and should be based on the data, presented inside the manuscript only)	The conclusions are accurate and supported by the content.	
References	There are many unnecessary references. Use only the most relevant references	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer