



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012_BJAST_2698
Title of the Manuscript:	Data Analyzing by Attention to Weighted Multicollinearity in Logistic Regression Applicable in Industrial Data

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction is too long. The details of different methods on how to fit a logistic regression could be reduced and put in the section of method. A table to compare the pros and cons of these different estimation methods would be very useful for the general readers. 2. The decimal points in almost every table were wrongly represented by commas, please correct them. The same applies to some equations. 3. Some discussion on how the parameter estimates from the different methods differ and why needs to be done as the manuscript mainly compares different methods. 4. The authors need to give a more conclusive recommendation on which model could be considered as the best model considering statistics and expert opinions. Please note that most readers who are interested in reading the manuscripts may not be familiar with steel production. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please cite more recently published papers.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer