

EVALUATION OF NATURALLY OCCURRING RADIONUCLIDE MATERIALS IN SOIL SAMPLES COLLECTED FROM SOME MINING SITES IN ZAMFARA STATE, NIGERIA

A. J. Innocent¹, M.Y. Onimisi^{1*} and S.A. Jonah²

¹Department of Physics, Nigerian Defence Academy, Kaduna, Nigeria

²Centre for Energy Research and Training, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

Aims: To identify and quantify prominent gamma emitting-NORMs associated with mining activities in Zamfara State, Nigeria.

Study design: Soil samples were collected from 10 different mine sites in Zamfara State, northwest-Nigeria for gamma spectroscopy analysis.

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Physics, Nigerian Defence Academy Kaduna, Nigeria, between June 2012 and October 2012.

Methodology: A laboratory based γ -ray spectrometry NaI(Tl) at the Centre for Energy Research and Training (CERT), Ahmadu Bello University Zaria, Nigeria was employed to carry out the analysis of the soil samples.

Results: The values of activity concentration found for ^{40}K , ^{238}U and ^{232}Th range from $227.10 \pm 7.54 - 590.44 \pm 10.57$, $4.68 \pm 3.52 - 18.98 \pm 0.84$ and $40.58 \pm 1.85 - 94.92 \pm 2.75 \text{ Bq.kg}^{-1}$ respectively, while absorbed dose rate in the soil samples for ^{40}K , ^{238}U and ^{232}Th range from $9.47 \pm 0.31 - 24.62 \pm 0.44$, $2.16 \pm 1.62 - 8.77 \pm 0.39$ and $24.51 \pm 1.12 - 57.33 \pm 1.66 \text{ nGy.h}^{-1}$ respectively. The total average absorbed dose rate of the soil samples collected is 59.70 nGy.h^{-1} and the estimated annual effective dose for the study areas range from $52 - 106 \mu\text{Sv.y}^{-1}$, with an average annual effective dose of $73 \mu\text{Sv.y}^{-1}$.

Conclusion: The radiation exposure level for members of the public in the study areas is within the safety limit.

Keywords: radionuclides, soil, mining, activity concentration, absorbed dose, Zamfara

1. INTRODUCTION

The world is naturally radioactive and about 90% of human radiation exposures arise from natural sources such as cosmic radiations, exposure to radon gas, and terrestrial radiations. Significant naturally occurring radionuclides present in the soil are ^{238}U , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K [4]. Since these radionuclides are not uniformly distributed, the knowledge of their distribution in soils and rocks play an important role in radiation protection and measurement. Some of the exposures are fairly constant and uniform for all individual persons everywhere, for example,

* Tel.: +234-805-4538199

E-mail address: onimisim@yahoo.com

the dose acquire from ingestion of ^{40}K in foods. However, other exposures vary widely depending on location. Cosmic rays, for example, are more intense at higher altitudes and concentrations of uranium and thorium in soils are elevated in localized areas. High levels of uranium and its decay products in rock and soil, and thorium in monazite sands are the main sources of high natural background radiations that have been identified in several areas of the world [13].

Radiation exposures arise in the mining and mineral processing industries through three principal pathways. These are external gamma radiation from ores, inhalation of dusts containing long-lived alpha-emitting radionuclides and inhalation of the short-lived decay products of radon. Inhalation of radon decay products in poorly ventilated underground mines can lead to exposures in excess of current radiation exposure limits, and this could cause high incidence of lung cancer in the mine workers. There is consequently a need to adopt careful radiological control measures in mining and mineral processing operations involving radioactive ores, in order to protect those involved and to meet dose limits.

Exposure of workers to naturally occurring radioactive material (NORM) continued to be an emerging industrial issue, for example in the extraction of rare earths, the zircon and zirconium industries, coal-fired electricity generation and the phosphate industry [5]. According to United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation, about 13 million workers are exposed to natural sources of radiation [14]. Historically, such industries have not always been subjected to the same strict regulation regime as is the case with artificial sources. Environmental problems associated with NORM in solid minerals mines and processing occur in the process of drilling, leaching, handling, storage, transportation of mineral ores and the use of contaminated equipment or waste media without controls. These usually lead to the spread of NORM contaminating the environment, resulting in potential radiation exposure of members of the public. An example of negative effect of contaminated environment on humans, as a result of mining activities was that reported by [12]. According to the source, in March 2010, an unusual high number of deaths, primarily among children under age 5 in Bukkuyum and Anka local government areas of Zamfara State, north-western Nigeria, was reported by Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF-Holland) to state health authorities. Further study on blood samples taken by MSF revealed that the increased mortality was the result of acute lead poisoning, determined to be caused by massive environmental contamination from artisanal mining and processing of gold found in lead-rich ore. The grinding of the ore into fine particles by local miners resulted in extensive dispersal of lead dust in the villages, including their compounds. Ingestion and inhalation of the fine lead particles was determined to be the major reason for high blood lead levels in victims' bodies. Blood lead levels were found to be unprecedented for human being.

Since radiation is neither visible nor can it be felt by the human sense organs, it is important that the total amount of radiation emitting-NORMs in the area is accurately known and kept to a level as low as reasonably achievable in order to safeguard the live of the people and ensure radiation-pollution free environment. Therefore, this study seeks to provide the public with the knowledge of NORM level associated with solid mineral mining activities in Zamfara, with the hope that results of the study will serve as baseline for future assessment of gamma emitting-NORMs level in the study area. The main objective of this study is to identify and quantify prominent gamma emitting-NORMs associated with mining activities in Zamfara State, Nigeria using gamma spectroscopy system at Centre for Energy Research and Training, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria Nigeria.

The results obtained in this study might compliment the data base of some related studies carried out in other locations within Nigeria. Such studies are determination of terrestrial

gamma dose rates and physical-chemical properties of farm soils from ex-tin mining locations in Jos [7]; Characterization of distribution of gamma-emitting radionuclides in soils around the Centre for Energy Research and Training (CERT) Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria [9]; determination of radiological safety assessment and occurrence of heavy metals in soil from designated waste dumpsites used for building and composting in south-western Nigeria [3]; Characterization of distribution of some natural gamma emitting radionuclides in the soils of the coastal areas of Nigeria [2]; Estimation of natural radionuclides and elemental composition of chemical fertilizers used in Nigeria [8]; baseline measurement of natural radioactivity in soil, vegetation and water in the industrial district of the Federal Capital Territory (FCT) Abuja, Nigeria [11]; Measurement of activity concentration of ^{40}K , ^{226}Ra and ^{232}Th for assessment of radiation hazards from soils of southern region of Nigeria [1].

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Sample collection and preparation

The study site is located in Zamfara State, northwest Nigeria between $006^{\circ}30'11''$ – $007^{\circ}00'03''$ E of the longitude and $12^{\circ}39'18''$ – $12^{\circ}46'01''$ N of the latitude. The types of soil samples used for the investigation were soils samples collected from solid mineral processing site at Danba-Gusau and mine sites at other locations within Zamfara state, Nigeria. The soil samples were packed in plastic containers from the areas of surveillance, properly sealed and labelled for easy identification and then transported to the environmental laboratory at Centre for Energy Research and Training (CERT), Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria.

In the laboratory, the soil samples were put in an oven and set to a temperature of 105°C to allow for drying overnight in order to remove any available moisture. After drying, the samples were crushed and sieved with a mesh having holes each of diameter of 2 mm in order to remove organic materials, stones and lumps. Afterwards, the homogenized samples were packed to fill cylindrical plastic beakers of height 7cm by 6cm diameter which is the same as geometry of the counting detector. This satisfies the selected optimal sample container height [6]. The samples were carefully sealed using vaseline, candle wax and masking tape in order to prevent trapped radon gas from escape. They were weighed on a digital weighing balance with a precision of $\pm 0.01\text{g}$, each plastic beaker accommodated approximately 300g of the soil sample. The sealed samples were kept for a minimum period of 30 days so as to allow for ^{226}Ra and its short-lived progenies to reach secular radioactive equilibrium [10] before gamma counting.

2.2 The experimental set-up and procedure

The gamma-ray spectrometry set-up consists of a 7.62 cm by 7.62 cm NaI (TI) detector housed in a 6 cm thick lead shield and lined with cadmium and copper sheets in order to assist in the reduction of background radiation [11]. In addition, a computer based Multichannel Analyser (MCA) Maestro Programme from ORTEC was used for the data acquisition and analysis of gamma spectra.

The soil samples were placed on the detector surface and each counted for a lifetime of about 29,000 seconds (8 hrs, 3mins) in reproducible sample detector geometry. The configuration and geometry were maintained throughout the analysis, as previously characterized based on well established protocol of the laboratory at the Centre for Energy Research and Training, Zaria. The background count was also measured for the same period of lifetime.

130 The 1764 KeV γ -line of ^{214}Bi for ^{226}Ra was used in the assessment of the activity
 131 concentration of ^{238}U while 2614.5 KeV γ -line of ^{208}Tl was used for investigating the activity
 132 concentration of ^{232}Th . The single 1460 KeV γ -line of ^{40}K was used in its content evaluation.
 133
 134
 135

136 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

137 3.1 Net count per second (cps)

139 The gross area count G_c is related to the net area count N_c by [10]:
 140

$$141 N_c = G_c - B_c \quad (1)$$

142
 143 Where B_c is the background area count, (the area count recorded by the detector in the
 144 absence of samples). Table 1 presents the background area counts corresponding to ^{40}K ,
 145 ^{238}U and ^{232}Th as obtained from the measurements.
 146
 147

Table 1: Area under the photo peaks due to background count

Nuclide	Bgrd count (B_c)	Stat. error
^{40}K	3816	± 338
^{238}U	902	± 307
^{232}Th	3103	± 227

148
 149
 150 Using equation (1), the net area counts N_c was calculated from the gross area counts G_c
 151 generated by the gamma spectroscopy system. Consequently, the net count per second
 152 (cps) was also calculated for all the three radionuclides.
 153

154 3.2 Activity concentrations

155 The activity concentrations for the natural radionuclides in the measured samples were
 156 computed using the following relation [10].
 157

$$158 A_c = \frac{N_c}{L_t} \sigma^{-1} \quad (2)$$

159
 160 Where L_t is the lifetime of counting. And σ is a conversion factor, it is constant for each
 161 radionuclide at constant geometry and it is a characteristic of efficiency of the NaI(Tl)
 162 detector assembly used. Table 2 presents the values of the conversion factor (σ) for ^{40}K ,
 163 ^{238}U and ^{232}Th [11] used for converting the raw data into conventional unit data.
 164
 165
 166
 167
 168
 169
 170

Table 2: Conversion constants and gamma ray line for each nuclide

Nuclide	$\frac{cps}{Bq.kg^{-1}}$	Gamma ray line (KeV)
^{40}K	0.000643	1460
^{238}U	0.000863	1764
^{232}Th	0.000877	2614.5

All the raw data obtained from the detector were converted to conventional units using calibration factors to determine the activity concentrations of ^{40}K , ^{226}Ra and ^{232}Th respectively. Using equation (2), the activity concentrations were calculated and the results are as presented in table 3 and figure 1.

Table 3: Activity concentrations of the soil samples

Activity Concentrations ($Bq.kg^{-1}$)				
Sample ID	^{40}K	^{238}U	^{232}Th	TOTAL
ZM.G1	561.32 ± 10.57	12.39 ± 2.36	94.916 ± 2.75	668.63 ± 15.67
ZM.G11	590.44 ± 10.57	18.26 ± 0.48	73.448 ± 1.61	682.15 ± 12.66
ZM.G12	528.66 ± 09.49	11.07 ± 2.20	52.058 ± 1.81	591.79 ± 13.50
ZM.H1	370.25 ± 10.94	07.87 ± 2.16	40.577 ± 1.85	418.70 ± 14.95
ZM.L1	425.86 ± 10.94	05.79 ± 1.28	52.805 ± 1.77	484.46 ± 13.99
ZM.L2	465.49 ± 09.33	14.35 ± 1.60	44.627 ± 2.91	524.46 ± 13.84
ZM.H2	338.29 ± 07.72	18.98 ± 0.84	54.221 ± 1.57	411.49 ± 10.13
ZM.L12	227.10 ± 07.54	17.41 ± 1.27	41.698 ± 0.67	286.20 ± 06.94
ZM.L11	244.97 ± 08.15	04.68 ± 3.52	56.501 ± 2.60	306.15 ± 14.26
ZM.G2	512.80 ± 11.45	10.42 ± 0.53	90.315 ± 2.25	613.54 ± 14.23
MEAN	426.51 ± 9.67	12.12 ± 1.37	60.117 ± 1.98	498.76 ± 13.02




Fig. 1: Activity concentration for the samples

3.3 Absorbed dose rates (D)

The external absorbed dose rate, $D(nGy.h^{-1})$ in air at 1 m above the ground level due to activity concentrations of ^{40}K , ^{238}U and ^{232}Th for the 10 samples were calculated using equation (3) [13]:

$$D(nGy.h^{-1}) = 0.0417A_K + 0.462A_U + 0.604A_{Th} \quad (3)$$

where A_K , A_U and A_{Th} are the activity concentrations of ^{40}K , ^{238}U and ^{232}Th respectively in $Bq.kg^{-1}$.

The conversion factors 0.0417, 0.462, 0.604 are expressed in $nGy.h^{-1}/Bq.kg^{-1}$. Table 4 presents the results of the absorbed dose rates calculated. The absorbed dose rates in air are usually related to human absorbed dose in order to assess radiological implications.

Table 4: Absorbed dose rates of the soil samples

Sample ID	^{40}K ($\frac{Bq}{kg}$)	^{238}U ($\frac{Bq}{kg}$)	^{232}Th ($\frac{Bq}{kg}$)	TOTAL ($\frac{Bq}{kg}$)
ZM.G1	23.41 ± 0.44	5.72 ± 1.09	57.33 ± 1.66	86.46 ± 3.19
ZM.G11	24.62 ± 0.44	8.44 ± 0.22	44.36 ± 0.79	77.42 ± 1.64
ZM.G12	22.05 ± 0.40	5.12 ± 0.02	31.44 ± 1.09	58.60 ± 2.50
ZM.H1	15.44 ± 0.46	3.64 ± 1.00	24.51 ± 1.12	43.59 ± 2.57
ZM.L1	17.76 ± 0.46	2.68 ± 0.59	31.89 ± 1.07	52.33 ± 2.12

ZM.L2	19.41 ± 0.39	6.63 ± 0.74	26.96 ± 1.76	52.99 ± 2.89
ZM.H2	14.11 ± 0.32	8.77 ± 0.39	32.75 ± 0.95	55.62 ± 1.66
ZM.L12	09.47 ± 0.31	8.04 ± -0.59	25.19 ± 0.40	42.70 ± 0.13
ZM.L11	10.22 ± 0.34	2.16 ± 1.62	34.13 ± 1.57	46.50 ± 3.53
ZM.G2	21.38 ± 0.48	4.82 ± 0.24	54.55 ± 1.36	80.75 ± 2.08
TOTAL	177.86 ± 4.03	56.00 ± 6.32	363.11 ± 11.95	596.96 ± 22.30
MEAN	17.79 ± 0.40	5.60 ± 0.63	36.31 ± 1.20	59.70 ± 2.23

207
208
209

210 3.4 Annual Effective Dose (E_d)

211 To estimate the annual effective dose rates, the conversion coefficient from absorbed dose
212 in air to effective dose (0.7Sv.Gy^{-1}) and outdoor occupancy factor (0.2) proposed by [13]
213 were used. Therefore, the annual effective dose rates (mSv.yr^{-1}) were calculated from the
214 following formula [4]:

$$215 E_d = D(\text{nGy.hr}^{-1}) \times 8760 (\text{hr.y}^{-1}) \times 0.2 \times (0.7 \times 10^3 \text{mSv}) \times (10^9 \text{nGy})^{-1} \quad (4)$$

216 Equation (4) simplifies into

$$217 E_d = D \times 1.2264 \times 10^{-3} \quad (5)$$

218 where E_d is the annual effective dose rate in (mSv.y^{-1}) and D is the value of absorbed dose
219 rate earlier calculated from equation (3). Table 5 presents the calculated annual effective
220 dose for the entire samples.

221
222
223

Table 5: Annual effective dose rates

Sample ID	^{40}K ($\frac{\text{rate}}{\text{mSv.y}^{-1}}$)	^{238}U ($\frac{\text{rate}}{\text{mSv.y}^{-1}}$)	^{232}Th ($\frac{\text{rate}}{\text{mSv.y}^{-1}}$)	TOTAL ($\frac{\text{rate}}{\text{mSv.y}^{-1}}$)
ZM.G1	0.029	0.0070	0.070	0.106
ZM.G11	0.030	0.0103	0.054	0.095
ZM.G12	0.027	0.0063	0.039	0.072
ZM.H1	0.019	0.0045	0.030	0.053
ZM.L1	0.022	0.0033	0.039	0.064
ZM.L2	0.024	0.0081	0.033	0.065
ZM.H2	0.017	0.0108	0.040	0.068
ZM.L12	0.012	0.0099	0.031	0.052

ZM.L11	0.013	0.0026	0.042	0.057
ZM.G2	0.026	0.0059	0.067	0.099
TOTAL	0.220	0.0687	0.445	0.732
MEAN	0.022	0.0069	0.045	0.073

224
225

226 3.5 Discussion

227 The highest radioactivity concentration of ^{40}K was found in soil sample ZM.G11 (Table 3,
228 Fig. 1). This high value could be due to presence of abundant radioactive minerals such as
229 smectite, kaolinite and feldspars in the sample. The least radioactivity concentration of ^{40}K
230 was found in soil sample ZM.L12. The highest radioactive concentration of ^{238}U was found in
231 soil sample ZM.H2, it could be due to high presence of uranium minerals such as uraninite,
232 zircon, monazite and so on. Soil sample ZM.L11 had the lowest radioactivity concentration of
233 ^{238}U . Also, Table 3 shows that the highest radioactive concentration of ^{232}Th is found in soil
234 sample ZM.G1, which could be due to presence of abundant radioactive thorium minerals
235 such as monazite, zircon and thorianite [10]. The smallest radioactive concentration of ^{232}Th
236 was also found in soil sample ZM.L12. As expected, the radioactivity concentrations due to
237 ^{40}K is highest compared with ^{238}U and ^{232}Th .

238 From Table 4, it was shown that the absorbed dose rate due to the three
239 radionuclides is highest for soil sample ZM.G1. This might be due to accumulation of mineral
240 sands from different mine sites at the processing plant. According to Table 4, ^{232}Th had the
241 highest value of total absorbed dose rate among the three radionuclides detected in the soil
242 samples collected, thus it had the highest dose level in the study areas while ^{238}U had the
243 least total of 56.00 nGy.h⁻¹.
244

245 4. CONCLUSION

246 The method of gamma spectrometry had been used to measure the radioactivity
247 concentration of 10 soil samples collected from mine sites in Zamfara State, Nigeria. The
248 result shows that the total concentration of ^{232}Th is much higher than that of ^{238}U by
249 approximately a factor of 5 while ^{40}K leads the table of radioactivity concentrations.
250 The annual effective dose rates in air at the study area range from 0.052 - 0.106 mSv.y⁻¹.
251 Thus, the exposure level for the members of general public is within the recommended value
252 of 1 mSv.y⁻¹ [13]. Therefore, this is an indication that the mining activities in the study areas
253 do not appear to have any impact on the radiation burden of the environment. However, it is
254 recommended that proper radiation monitoring exercise be conducted on the processing site
255 (ZM.G1) from time to time in other to checkmate the possible rise in radiation level due to
256 accumulation of mineral sands from various mine sites.
257

258 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

259 We are grateful to staff and management of Nigerian Defence Academy Kaduna, Nigeria
260 and Centre for Energy Research and Training, Ahmadu Bello University Zaria, Nigeria for
261 their supports.
262
263

264 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

265 There is no competing interest whatsoever that could have influenced the results of this
266 study in any manner.
267

268 **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

269 This study was carried out in collaboration among all the authors. AJI collected and prepared
270 the field samples, participated in the laboratory procedures, performed the statistical analysis
271 and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. MYO designed the study and contributed to the
272 statistical analysis. SAJ supervised the analyses of the study. All authors read and approved
273 the final manuscript.
274

275 **REFERENCES**

- 276 1. Ajayi OS. Measurement of activity concentrations of ^{40}K , ^{226}Ra and
277 ^{232}Th for assessment of radiation hazards from soils of the south-
278 western region of Nigeria. *Journal of Radiation, Environment &*
279 *Biophysics*. 2009; 48(5): 323–332.
- 280 2. Alatise OO, Babalola IA, Olowofela JA. Distribution of some natural
281 gamma emitting radionuclides in the soils of the coastal areas of
282 Nigeria, *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*. 2008; 99(11): 1746-
283 1749.
- 284 3. Faweya EB, Babalola AI. Radiological safety assessment and
285 occurrence of heavy metals in soil from designated waste dumpsites
286 used for building and composting in south-western Nigeria. *The*
287 *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*. 2010; 35(2): 219-225.
- 288 4. Harb S, Salahel DK, Abbady A, Mostafa M. Activity concentration for
289 surface soil samples collected from Armant, Qena, Egypt.
290 *Proceedings of the 4th Environmental Physics Conference, Hurghada,*
291 *Egypt*. 2010; (4): 49-57.
- 292 5. International Atomic Energy Agency. Safety report on radiation
293 protection and the management of a radioactive waste in
294 mine, oil and gas industries, International Atomic Energy Agency, New
295 York. 1999.
- 296 6. Ibeanu IGE, Funtua II, Adeyemo DJ, Bappah AI, Umar IM. Radiation
297 monitoring programme for the Centre for Energy Research and
298 Training (CERT) Nuclear research reactor site and environs. Second
299 technical report of the Centre for Energy Research and Training
300 (CERT), Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria. CERT/MNSR/RMP/02. 2000
- 301 7. Jibiri NN, Alausa SK, Owofolaju AE, Adeniran A A. Terrestrial gamma
302 dose rates and physical-chemical properties of farm soils from ex-tin
303 mining locations in Jos-Plateau, Nigeria. *African Journal of*
304 *Environmental Science and Technology*. 2011; 5(12):1039-1049.

- 305 8. Jonah SA, Okunade IO, Ibeanu IGE, Jimba BW. Natural radionuclides
306 and elemental composition of chemical fertilizers used in Nigeria.
307 Journal of Nuclear Science. 2002; 4(1): 49-52.
- 308 9. Muhammad AM, Funtua II, Malam SP, Abdullahi AS. Distribution of
309 gamma-emitting radionuclides in soils around the Centre for
310 Energy Research and Training, Zaria, Nigeria. Journal of American
311 Science. 2010; 6(12): 995-1001.
- 312 10. Okeyode IC, Akanni AO. Determination of some physical parameters
313 of Olumo rock, Abeokuta Ogun-State, Nigeria. Indian Journal of
314 Science and Technology. 2009; 2(7): 0974-6846.
- 315 11. Umar AM, Onimisi MY, Jonah SA. Baseline measurement of natural
316 radioactivity in soil, vegetation and water in the industrial district of the
317 Federal Capital Territory (FCT) Abuja, Nigeria. British Journal of
318 Applied Science & Technology. 2012; 2(3): 266-274.
- 319 12. United Nations Environment Programme. Lead pollution and
320 poisoning crisis, Report of environmental emergency response
321 mission in Zamfara State, Nigeria. 2010
- 322 13. United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic
323 Radiation. Radiological Protection Bulletin No. 224, New York. 2000
- 324 14. United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic
325 Radiation. Sources and effects of ionizing radiation, Report to the
326 General Assembly with scientific annexes, Vol. 1 United Nations New
327 York. 2008