SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	**MS: 2013_BJAST_3277**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Analytical Technique for Predicting Passenger Car Gearbox Structure Noise Using Vibration response analysis.**

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:
(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	First, the literature review part doesn't focus on the subject of this paper. Second, neither analysis nor comparison of literature can be found to introduce the subject. What is worse, the 1-7 paragraphs are nearly completely copied from the abstracts of the reference.	
Minor REVISION comments	Line 126-128: Grammar mistakes; Line 147-148: Grammar mistakes; Line 159-160: Grammar mistakes; Line 177: cancel *for* ; Line 180: add *is* , behind *the bigger the number of panels* Line 216-217: The expression is not clear; Line 222: cancel *were* behind *the accelerometer*; Line 223-225: The expression is not clear; Line 228: add *were* behind *its signals*; Line 242: and *is* before *obtained by space and time*; Line 246: add *is* behind *the grater the accuracy of the estimation of* ($\overline{V}$). Line 251: add *for* behind *This can account*; Line 253-254: The expression is not clear because of the grammar mistakes;	
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer