



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_3952
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIDIARRHOEAL POTENTIALITY OF LEAF EXTRACTS OF MORINGA OLEIFERA

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There is need for corrections to be made in the Abstract section. The sentences pertaining to Methodology do not reflect the rationale of the work There is also need to mention the time and seasonal periods when collection were made (under Methodology It is not correct to be so affirmative in the way to suggest the extracts to be used as part of drug therapy at this stage, In the absence of structure-activity relationships one has to be careful before advocating such medicinal uses. The conclusions section needs to be revised in line of the observations and aims of study . Authors must avoid making drastic conclusions	
Minor REVISION comments	There are some typological errors in the text and need to be amended	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A H Subratty
Department, University & Country	Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Mauritius, Reduit, Mauritius