



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_3682
Title of the Manuscript:	Smart Grid Technologies: A Risk Management Framework

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
At this point I still find this manuscript in need of revision. If anything, due to the way the authors' incompletely addressed initial comments, because of new typographical errors, and because of a number of new concluding statements that lack any scientific backup from what is provided in the text, I suggest this manuscript be rejected. 1. The statement "These risks clearly warrant further investigation and assessment in the future" is entirely troubling in terms of smart meters and wind turbines. This is a very strong statement, and one that is not supported by the weight of global scientific evidence or stances of countless government and medical agencies. Why do the authors feel this way? They have not provided evidence to support this in the revised manuscript. 2. There is still no mention of the fact that RF is considered a Class 2B carcinogen. As noted in initial comments, this needs to be addressed. 3. The title of section 6.0 is "EVALUATION OF HEALTH IMPACT". In my opinion no evaluation has been conducted in this revised manuscript that would constitute a "mini review". 4. Section 6.1: whose official line are you referring to? 5. As noted in the initial comments there are a number of government reviews about wind turbines and health. The authors reference only one. Why, what was the selection criteria?	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Loren D. Knopper
Department, University & Country	Intrinsic Environmental Sciences Inc., 1790 Courtwood Crescent, Ottawa ON, K2C 2B5, Canada