



## SDI Review Form 1.6

### **PART 1:**

|                          |                                                                                                       |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">British Journal of Applied Science &amp; Technology</a>                                   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>MS: 2012/BJAST/1982</b>                                                                            |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF A CUTTING FLUID TWO-WAY/MULTI-FEEDING DEVICE FOR TURNING OPERATION.</b> |

**General guideline: Reviewers are requested to follow these guidelines during review:** *(Note: Title of different sections as proposed below may differ in case of review paper / case reports)*

- Introduction *(Is the problem/objective of this study original, important and well defined?)*
- Materials & methods *(Kindly comment on the suitability of the methods. Sufficient details of the methods should be provided to allow peers to evaluate and/or replicate the work)*
- Results & discussion *(Kindly comment on: 1. Are the data well controlled and robust? 2. Authors should provide relevant references during discussion. 3. Discussion and conclusions should be based on actual facts and figures. Biased claims should be pointed out. 4. Are statistical analyses must for this paper? If yes, have sufficient and appropriate statistical analyses been carried out?)*
- Conclusion *(Is the conclusion supported by the data, discussed inside the manuscript? Conclusions should not be biased and should be based on the data, presented inside the manuscript only)*
- Are all the references cited relevant, adequate? Are there any other suitable current references authors need to cite?
- This form has total 10 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.





**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                       |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– Line 152, should be <i>Conclusion</i>.</li><li>– In terms of previous remarks, introduce the recommendation as future work of the conclusion.</li></ul> | <i>(research). They only serve as support. Line 152 'Conclusion and Recommendation' remains as it is, since they are addressing different issues.</i> |
| <b><u>Optional</u></b> comments |                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                       |