



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2012 BJASt 2541
Title of the Manuscript:	QUALITY AND SHELF - LIFE ASSESSEMENT OF VARIOUSLY PROCESSED CATFISH AND TILAPIA STORED AT AMBIENT TEMPERATURE

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I cannot review the paper since figures are missing. Similar papers should be cited in the paper from the authors. It seems almost the same studies published in other journals, such as: • "Effect of Processing and Storage on the Trace Metal Concentration and Freshness Quality of Catfish (<i>Clarias gariepinus</i>)" Food Science and Quality Management (2012) • "Trace metal concentration and freshness quality of preserved catfish (<i>Clarias gariepinus</i>)" African Journal of Biotechnology (2012)	This study assessed the suitability of three local preservation methods for <i>Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus</i> and <i>Tilapia zillii</i>, two common fresh water fish species with great economic potential and which are readily available/ consumed in Nigeria.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		