



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1:**

|                          |                                                                                                               |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">British Journal of Applied Science &amp; Technology</a>                                           |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>MS: 2012 BJASt 2588</b>                                                                                    |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Heritability Estimates and correlations Among Flowering and Yield Related Traits in Mungbean Genotypes</b> |

**General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:**

**(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)**

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



## SDI Review Form 1.6

### **PART 2: Review Comments**

|                                            | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | <p>There are some tables mentioned in the text but they were not found in the manuscript. They need to be rearranged. For example</p> <p><b>Table 2 (Line 117)</b><br/>Authors wrote days to 50% flowering ranged from 42.0 to 67.25 days (Table 2). But there is no relevant data on Table 2 as they presented. Also should correct in the line 144, 180, 182, 200, 202, 218</p> <p>Table 3 (Line 110, 162 and 202)</p> <p><b>Citation of published articles</b><br/>In line 55, Acharya <i>et al.</i> (1993) not Acharya <i>et al.</i> (1993)<br/>Similar correction is necessary for Line 133, 137, 145, 154, 163, 164, 183, 189, 191, 204, 212, 216, 217, 222 and 229.</p> <p><b>Line 172 to 175:</b> Needs to rephrase the sentence to make it meaningful</p> <p><b>Line 35 to 36:</b><br/>Redundancy should</p> | I agree with the corrections and have incorporated in the manuscript                                                                                                                        |



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                         |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
|                                         | <p>be taken into consideration during revision of the manuscript.</p> <p>References:<br/>References are quiet old. Many recent articles were also available that is relevant to the author's study</p> |                         |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments   | <p><b>Sharma, J.D., and V.P. Gupta.(1994):</b> was not cited in the text.</p> <p>Line 136, plant<sup>-1</sup> not plan<sup>-1</sup></p> <p align="center"><b>Line 172, only one full stop.</b></p>     | Correction incorporated |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments | <p>The major correction is recommended to improve this article. Authors should cite latest information available in this field.</p>                                                                    | References are provided |