



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2012_BJAST_2872
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Efficiency of Ateel Wastewater Treatment Station for Rehabilitation Improving the Water Quality and its Safe and Practical Application

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	-lines 77-78: organic matter is also converted into CO₂ Dissolved matter may not be converted into Proteins (inorganic salts) -lines 81-84 repeat lines 45-49 - line 91 and Table 1: it is probably not in ml, it should probably be in mm. If it is really a volume it should be expressed per area unit. - Figures 1-5: should be deleted, Authors should add three columns to Table 1: average inflow, average outflow and % removal - Line 190: Authors should mention Palestinian standards	Dear reviewer: Thanks for the great review. The manuscript has been revised and reflects several changes.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- Authors may wish to explain what is meant by "household" - line 80: should be "bad odor" - Figure 6: should be deleted, it shows two people and not the wastewater treatment plant. - Figure 9: not necessary	Revised. But the granting agency wants to see the figures in. they are part of the experimtns and it is difficult to completely ignore them. Thanks much
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		