



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | British Journal of Applied Science & Technology |
| Manuscript Number: | MS: 2013_BJAST_3036 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Incidence of the randomness of the most influential parameters on the reinforced concrete carbonation time |

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | <p>The manuscript is limited in many aspects and is badly presented and described.</p> <p>In Section "Results and discussion" needs major clarifications. The publication lacks scientific and technical rigor, in addition to some aspects being unclear and not detailed. Monte Carlo simulations results needs be compared with real results reported in the bibliography.</p> <p>Ideally the authors would describe what parameters used for simulation method and how the calculation is performed. Authors need to describe laboratory testing results mentioned in section 2.1.</p> <p>The references are incomplete.</p> | <p>Thank you for your useful comments and suggestions on the structure of our manuscript. We have modified the manuscript accordingly</p> |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | <p>Review the equation number 1 . The Figure 1 needs redrawing, its resolution is poor.</p> | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | <p>Publication needs major clarifications and is missing important interpretations and details.</p> | |