



**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">British Journal of Applied Science &amp; Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012/BJAST/2205
Title of the Manuscript:	Biopesticide activities of some plant extracts: a potential alternative to chemical pesticides

**PART 2:**

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>The authors have made a good attempt to correct and include the revisions previously reviewed. However, there are still certain grammatical mistakes to be corrected and I have done it for the authors as it would reduce the time of revision.</p> <p>As this is a vast subject and has more than a million research papers and reviews, it is to be said, that the authors make a firm attempt in going through most of the relevant articles and reviews thoroughly. This would help them in writing a better review.</p> <p>Review writing means a consolidated and updated essay written by an expert in the said field and should be proven by the efforts and their own research papers in the reference which I could barely see.</p> <p>I really don't see the impact of this paper as it is very vague.</p>	<p>The grammatical mistakes have been eradicated. The corrections as advised by the reviewer have been suitably incorporated into the manuscript.</p> <p>Some new references as advised by other reviewers have also been included in the text.</p> <p>The papers published by the authors on these two plants have been included in the text. Please see references 28-30.</p> <p>We have focussed on the insecticidal properties of only two plant species i.e. <i>C. procera</i> and <i>A. squamosa</i> and we believe that this review article may be of immense help to those who are working on varied aspects of these plants.</p>