



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012 BJASt 2667
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of aerobic exercise on postmenopausal women with major depression

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The previous comments were not be responded: 5. I suggest use classification to present the depression severity. Did the distribution of severity change after intervention? Otherwise, you should let the readers know what is the significance of the difference between 16.86 and 19.66 (What size of change is a clinically significant change?). 6. Does the reported percentage (in session 3.2) mean that (number of improved/total number)? How to know it is significant?	5-The depression severity was classified in methodology (line 82, 83), yes the distribution of severity changed after intervention for both groups, significance of the difference between 16.86 and 17.66 was highly (P=0.002) after intervention for both groups but our limitation in this study was small study group due to lack of participants (in our community there was variations and low social and culture level). 6-The reported percentage (in session 3.2) mean that (mean of post treat depression intensity- mean of pre treat depression intensity / pre treat depression intensity×100)(line 106,109). Used only to compare between both groups <i>Thanks</i>