



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_5534
Title of the Manuscript:	PLANNING AND EXECUTION OF THE EUROPEAN UNION-ASSISTED WATER SUPPLY AND SANITATION PROJECTS IN IMO STATE, NIGERIA: CONSTRAINTS, LESSONS AND SUCCESS FACTORS

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Still: 1) The tables must be independent (self explaining) so that the reader who is reading only the table can understand. 2) The same material MUST NOT be published twice. You have now in text and in tables the same materials. Don't underestimate the reader! 3) The paper should not contain any unnecessary parts. 4) Be systematic so that the font is similar in similar places and the references are always made according to the same methods. 5) If the journal is asking a ready text, do it to the final form! 6) A Table MUST SITUATE in the same page. It must not be divided to two pages. The next comments are from the beginning up to the end dealing with the above mention details and some others, too. Line 37: Start a new paragraph after created. Lines 86-87: omit the text in parenthesis. Line 111: start a new paragraph. Lines 145-146 The sentence about population should be the second in this paragraph (People are the most important: Aren't they?) Lines 150. Take the following text to these lines if this should be ready to print (no empty lines). You could also set that Figure 1 before the title 2 (line 135). Lines 188-207. Omit this unnecessary map (see my comment 3), since this map doesn't contain anything, what would not be in the map in lines 224-258. Lines 224-258. This is Figure 2 (not 1.2.). What is L.G.A.? Open it or omit both boundaries! The symbols are not exactly in correct places. Improve! If possible increase the size of this figure. Again under this figure there must be no empty lines. Line 271. Give the number of households here; not only in line 300. Line 331. You send the letter or interviewer to 580 households. Did you get the answer from all? In my country all people can read and the major addresses are correct, but all people do not answer. All are not home and all do not answer also in telephone. Line 332-up to first word in line 372 omit since the reader can see all the same in Table 1 (see my comments 2 and 3). Line 372. This result agrees ... Lines 374. .. is a necessity. The end of this sentence is filling material with words but without meaning. Omit it or write it so that also your grandmother could understand it! Line 382: Table 1. The legend should be: Percentage response to give high, medium (average) or low rating for different tasks. Be sure that the whole Table in the same page!	We thank you for the useful and illuminating comments. Our comments are as follows: 1. The tables are now self explaining and can be understood. 2. We cannot do a thing that would put our integrity to ridicule. We are budding intellectuals and our future is important to us. 3. To the best of our ability, the unnecessary parts have been removed. 4. The fonts are now similar in the appropriate places and the references made according to the same methods. 5. We have a ready text now. Please if what we have done is not yet in its final form, do not hesitate to direct further. 6. The table are no longer appearing in divided forms. For Line 37, the new paragraph starts at line 39. The text in parenthesis has been omitted. The text formally in line 111 is now in line 107. The sentence about population is the second in 2.1 No more empty lines and Figures are set appropriately. The unnecessary map has been omitted or deleted. L.G.A is now in full..... as Local Government Area. Number of households indicated, now shown in line 215. Trained interviewers were sent to communities of the sampled 580 households where they spent an average of two nights to ensure that all were reached. Lines 332-372; 398-436; and 467-513 have been omitted as directed. Table Legends have been included appropriately. Line 513: "This success of results is followed" Corrected as directed. References 1 and 4. Corrected as directed. Reference 2. Line 587. (2004) omitted as directed. Reference 3. The line in 591 has been replaced – with Bamberger, M. Correct spacing are now used for all the references. Lines 374. ".. is a necessity..." corrected and sentences rewritten.



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

Lines 387-389: follow the font and empty lining as in title 3.1. Lines 398-436: Omit as the lines 332-374. Lines 445-446 see the same font as in Table 1. The correct legend could be Percentage response to give high, average or low rating for for different factors. Line 455: add empty line! Lines 467-513 (half) omit! See the comment in lines 332-374. Line 513: This success of results is followed Table 3 The legend could be Percentage response to give high, average or low rating for different factors assumed to be useful to get successful results. See that the font in tables 1, 2 and 3 is the same. References 1 and 4: Should the initials be GB (not G. B.) and JA as they are in reference 13 and the others? Reference 2. Line 587 omit (2004). Reference 3. Line 591 replace – with Bamberger, M. Reference 14 and 16 must replaces each others since first will come all where Okerere PA is the only author (the present 15 and 16). In those papers where Okerere is the only authors, the alphabetic order is done according to the name of article. Correct the spacing to the same in all references! Still I would use % instead of percent at least in Tables – and also in text.	“Reference 14 and 16 must replaces each others since first will come all where Okerere PA is the only author (the present 15 and 16). In those papers where Okerere is the only authors, the alphabetic order is done according to the name of article”. This is not clear to us.
--	--