



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_6853
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential and feasibility of solid municipal waste treatment with gasifiers in a developing country: a review for Burkina Faso

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Most of the comments have been treated, but emphatically, an important issue as reviewer's statistical evaluation of gathered data is not effected. I Pray, this should be considered to bring the paper to a world class standard. If we use a better statistical tool we may observe that what looks like a progressive increase of waste generation from 2003 to 2009 are not significantly different. I think, this is the way academic reports are presented.	We are very grateful to the final evaluator who requested that statistical evaluation of gathered data be done, particularly for waste generation from 2003 to 2009. Using descriptive statistics tools, we first defined 3 parameters: - G_v = global variation expressed in % (relative variation) - Y_{AV} = yearly average variation, expressed in % - A_{PCW} = annual per capita waste production expressed in kg/inhab/year Final manuscript has been altered between lines 163 to 218. That is almost equal to two pages inserted with one new graph (figure 2) and one new table (table 4), for sake of clarity. Using XLSTAT 2013, we calculated the Pearson's correlation matrix and p-values. These are discussed in the manuscript. Table and figures have been renumbered consequently, this affected lines 234, 240, 246, 271, 313, 315 and 318. Best regards.