



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012/BJAST/2336
Title of the Manuscript:	AN INVESTIGATION OF X RAY EQUIPMENT AND ACCESSORIES AS POSSIBLE VECTORS OF NOSOCOMIAL INFECTION: A COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN GOVERNMENT AND PRIVATE INSTITUTIONS IN ANAMBRA STATE, NIGERIA.

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The authors have obviously improved the status of the manuscript. However, since no inferential statistics was used to analyse the results, there wouldnt be any need for stating alpha value or level of significance. So, all statements related to $p < 0.05$ and significant difference should be deleted from the result sections in the abstract and the main body of the manuscript including the discussion section.. All the data were analysed descriptively using frequency and percentage and the statistical sentence should end as such. Authors should also arrange the references consistently in the list of reference.	All the data were analysed descriptively using frequency and percentage has been used to replace all statistical sentence. The references have also been re arranged accordingly.