

Paper title: Machine Learning Optimisation for Realistic 2D and 3D PET-CT Phantom Study

Looking into the overall review process so far and the responses from reviewers and Authors, I make my own decision as follows:

The Author has successfully addressed the concern of the two reviewers.

Review 1 already expressed his satisfaction with the responses provided by the authors.

Although reviewer 2 has not responded, but I have personally looked into the issue raised by the reviewer 2 viz-a-viz the responses and explanations provided by the authors. And I found the Authors' responses and explanation to be brilliant, convincing and should satisfy reviewer 2 comments.

In fact some of the responses and justification of the authors are so unique that they demonstrated that they understanding the work at hand and have plans to proceed further subject to the publication of this work.

With all these, and looking into the papers itself and the contribution therein, I there for accept the paper for publication.

I however recommend that a thorough grammatical revision and spelling corrections should be done on the paper.

The paper is hereby accepted.

Editor's Details:

Sunday Olusanya Olatunji

College of Computer Science and Engineering, King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals, Saudi Arabia