

-----Original Message-----

From: XXX YYY<xxx@yyy.zzz>

Sent: Mon, Dec 3, 2012 at 8:28 PM

To: Managing Editor

Subject: Re: Request for final decision for manuscript number 2012 BJAST 2193

pls find attached my decision on the manuscript BJAST 2193

Attached part:

MS: 2012/BJAST/2193

I have carefully read the manuscript, the reviewer's comments and the corrected article. I completely agree with one of the referees (M Coskun) on two major points: 1) in the first version the genetic description of the disease was only sporadically mentioned (in disagreement with the title) and 2) the references were too old. However, my initial decision would have been "accepted with major revision" (evaluation = 7).

Nevertheless, I noticed that the Authors made a great effort to re-write the paper following the suggestions of the reviewers. They added a new section describing the genetic factors, updating the references. Therefore, I recommend the publication of the review after the correction of some typos (such as pg 5 one full stop more after "figure", pg 7: in... couple pls. change within a couple), space after ref 27 etc..).

Editor's Details:

Dr. Valentina Tosato

Yeast Molecular Genetics Group, International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, Italy

Note: Modification was done in this email ONLY to hide the identity