

-----Original Message-----

From: XXX YYY<xxx@yyy.zzz>

Sent: Wed, Dec 12, 2012 at 8:46 PM

To: Managing Editor

Subject: Re: Request for final decision for manuscript number 2012 BJASt 2205

Since I am totally not an expert of this research field, it is hard for me to judge the ability of publication of such a review article. I prefer to wait Dr. MR final decision about the new revised paper as he/she proposed several critical comments even in the last round of review. If Dr. MR still suggest to reject, we should respect our reviewer's decision.

In addition, I agree with Dr. V K that a review paper 'means a consolidated and updated essay written by an expert in the said field and should be proven by the efforts and their own research papers'. It seems there are only three papers published by the authors related with this widely studied research topic. The author could not be treated as a top expert in this field, and then the quality of the review would be questioned. Based on this point, I tend to not accept this article, but again, I suggest waiting for Dr. MR final decision as well.

**Editor's Details:**

Dr. Nan Wu

Department of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering

University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada

*Note: Modification was done in this document ONLY to hide the email id.*