SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BJAST_5534**
Title of the Manuscript:	**PLANNING AND EXECUTION OF THE EUROPEAN UNION-ASSISTED WATER SUPPLY AND SANITATION PROJECTS IN IMO STATE, NIGERIA: CONSTRAINTS, LESSONS AND SUCCESS FACTORS**
Type of the Article	**Research paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Yes it's need compulsory revision 1. All the tables not clear, so you have to make it clear for the readers. 2. The paper so long if possible make shorter. 3. I cannot understand where is the methodology?	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer