



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_6853
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential and feasibility of solid municipal waste treatment with gasifiers in a developping country: a review for Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Please shorten the discussion on the definition of <i>waste</i> Line 60 correct coil to coal Paragraph 59 to 65 incineration is not pollutant any more and is considered as an important solution for waste management in many countries. Should consider correcting this paragraph. Eliminate lines 118 to 125 and make the corresponding changes. Eliminate section 3.2 Item 3.3 should be written as energy bill There are two sections 3.4 ,please correct Section starting on line 254 and ending on line295 should be shortened to few words and keeping table 5.Item starting on line 297 and ending on line 319 should be rewritten keeping only relevant data, this part is extremely poor. Please rewrite the conclusion section and include only relevant comments based on the findings of the paper.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer