



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_3655
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance, Emissions and Combustion Characteristics of Waste Fried Vegetable Oil Based Biodiesel in High Grade Low Heat Rejection Diesel Engine

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. What are the test conditons? 2. Explain the meanings of the symbols such as 1-CE-Diesel-27bTDC in Fig. 2. 3. What is the optimum injection timing for the engine in Fig. 2? 4. Give more information about the reasons between BTE and injection timings. 5. Compared the results in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, it was found that the BTEs are different at a given injection timing and fuel, why? 6. What is the accuracy of the fuel flow meter? 7. I thank "the performance" should be a specific parameter, for example, efficiency. 8. Heat release rates for different fuel are needed in the first part of the results and discussion. 9. I think the focus of this paper is on rejection function when different fuels were used. But few were discussed. In most cases, only results were shown.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is no well-written as a research paper. It should be rewritten, I think.	
---	--	--

Note: Anonymous Reviewer