



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013 IRJPAC 4431
Title of the Manuscript:	PROXIMATE AND MINERAL COMPOSITION OF NINE NIGERIAN FRUITS
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

[\(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline\)](http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	ABSTRACT - The authors did not follow the journal template in presenting the abstract. Please download a copy of the journal template. - The English of the paper should be polished. - The authors failed to mention the method used for the proximate analysis in the abstract. - The authors should mention the specie of the fruits used. - Did you collect or purchase the fruit varieties from the market? - Rivers <u>State</u> not state INTRODUCTION This part should be revised as more information can be added. The authors should try to give a brief review of the existing literature reports on the proximate and mineral composition of fruits and give the major improvement this paper has over them, if any. MATERIALS AND METHODS How did you determine the crude protein, CHO and lipid content? You only mentioned moisture and dry matter. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION - Consult your colleagues on how to present	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	tables. Not all the lines should appear. - Add standard deviation values to your results in the form Mean$\pm$SD . - Some data are missing for <i>Carica papaya</i> under proximate analysis - Make a comparison between your results on minerals and the existing literature reports REFERENCES The authors should adhered religiously to the journal format	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The grammar of the paper should be addressed carefully. There are some typographical errors to be addressed too	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The journal should be accepted as short communication if the manuscript is carefully reviewed	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ajayi Ilesanmi Osasona
Department, University & Country	Department of Chemical Sciences, College of Sciences, Afe Babalola University, Ado- Ekiti, Nigeria.