



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_6757
Title of the Manuscript:	Dipole-Loaded Monopole Optimized Using VSO
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Fig .4 should be brought to one polar template to easily understand comparison. Novelty should be claimed explicitly. More clarity is needed in describing connection between NEC code and optimization platform.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Use either Fig. or Figure everywhere, should be consistent .	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Some motivation for current / future application of optimizing wire types antennas should be included to convince readers about the utility of contribution.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer