SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Applied Science & Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_BJAST_6356**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Embracing Contingency Planning for University Information Resources**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	In this paper , the author discusses the concept of contingency planning for information systems in a university context, uncovers the critical information systems within a university that are most vulnerable, identifies contingency planning models, and establishes a systematic approach that would be followed when implementing a contingency plan program in university environments. This work is very interesting and helpful. The contribution of this paper is that: - Introducing the problem. - Based on the NIST Model and ISO/IEC Framework, the author proposes his/her University Information Systems	

	Contingency Plan Model - The study used primary data that was collected through a self-administered questionnaire to verify the author's proposed model - The results show that the proposed model in this paper could help University policy makers and stakeholders to make sound decisions and policies regarding the protection of information assets from an informed point of view. I think the author should explain the reasonable why to adopt the data collected from the staff to verify the main process of the mode. Why we can use the responses from end users to show the reasonable of the six phases.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer