



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_6375
Title of the Manuscript:	Waste Plastics: Pyrolysis of Powdered and Powder-Free Laboratory Examination Waste Gloves
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Given on each page of the manuscript	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript can be publishable if the comments given are incorporated in the revised version of the manuscript. Comments are given on each page of the manuscript so that the authors can make appropriate corrections.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The information given in the manuscript is too much to grasp the message that authors intended to convey. It is advisable to reduce it by avoiding redundant information.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer