



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">British Journal of Applied Science &amp; Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_6102
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE OF SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED INFECTIONS (STIs) INCLUDING HIV/AIDS AMONG UNIVERSITY STUDENTS
Type of the Article	Research Paper

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>1. Need to add in information about the Questionnaire. Eg: Was it previously used before? What language was it given in? Was it piloted?</p> <p>2. How did you determine your sample size? Why did you select that specific University?</p> <p>3. Ethical considerations need to be added in as this is a very sensitive topic. Eg. Anonymity and confidentiality.</p> <p>4. Limitations to your study need to added in.</p> <p>5. The study needs to be compared to other studies both Nationally and globally include other African countries such as South Africa as the have the highest HIV rates.</p> <p>6. Need to update the references. Most of the references are &gt; 10 years old and a lot has changed since then and many young people are more aware of STI's due improved access to technology.</p> <p>7. The introduction does paint a current picture for the need of the study. Maybe look at: What is currently known on this topic? What is currently available on this topic? What is the significance of your research?</p> <p>8. There are no demographics in the results section that needs to be added it. Did you find a difference between males and females in terms of scoring? Etc.</p> <p>9. The conclusion and recommendations did not fit in because there was no theme to follow.</p>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	1. Grammatical errors need to be addressed throughout the document.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	It is a relevant topic particularly in this area that needs constant research to inform organisations if they need to adapt current/ change programmes. The author needs to have a common theme that he/she is following the paper will then be easier to read. I think that the author should work on the paper and resubmit as it will add to the body of knowledge in this field.	

**Note: Anonymous Reviewer**