

This paper has improved significantly over its original submission. It still appears as a very unusual paper from an inexperienced authors. I am giving my comments on the basis of authors reply. The comments are given below:

1. In previous review of this paper I suggested, each reference should be summarised critically, highlighting its limitations and how the proposed method aims to overcome such limitations. Authors have discussed critically but, didn't mention how to solve these limitations. In this work there is no novelty at all. The proposed method is published in several papers.
2. It is OK.
3. Authors should give more details about the newly created thermal face database. Authors have just mentioned 19 subjects are there and each having 30 different images but, authors should mention what are the variations they have considered all together.
4. The paper suffers the problem of "lack of novelty". Because, histogram based work has already been published in several journal. Authors have mentioned that histogram based approach is maintained local characteristic but as per my knowledge histogram based approach is maintain the global feature of an image.
5. Authors have just reported the existing works but, they haven't implemented these works on the newly created database. The percentage of recognition rate is also less compare to other existing methods. So, authors should implement each of these methods on their database and then compare their results.

Therefore my current opinion is: reject.

Note: Anonymous Reviewer