



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_10033
Title of the Manuscript:	An empirical investigation into factors influencing the Intention to Use e- Learning system: An Extended TAM model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Nouns and verbs in the title should be all with the first letter capital or just the beginning of the sentence. I mean like this: 'An Empirical Investigation into Factors Influencing the Intention to Use e-Learning System: An Extended TAM Model' or like this: 'An empirical investigation into factors influencing the intention to use e-learning system: an extended TAM model'. I also suggest to explain the shortcut TAM already in the title. 2. 'Abstract/Aims: the aim...' – it should be read 'Abstract/Aims: The aim...' 3. 'Abstract/Conclusion: '... that significant in...' – it should read: 'Abstract/Conclusion: '...that are significant...' 4. Verse 17: 'become' – it should read 'becomes' 5. Verses 19-21: 'E-learning is one of the new methods which might provide opportunities to create well-designed, learner-centered, meaningful distributed (but what?) and facilitated the interaction and the exchange of views and experiences 6. Verse 23: 'evolve' – it should read 'evolves' 7. Verse 48: '... that significantly explaining' – it should read '...that are significant in explaining...' 8. Verse 71: '...e-learning is definitely depends...' – it should read '...e-learning, it definitely depends...' 9. Verse 72: 'such a system' – it should read 'such	All grammar, punctuations, and editorial style suggestions and comments were resolved and highlighted in the main manuscript as advised.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	system' 10. Verse 76: 'Intensity' – it should read 'intensity' 11. Verse 93: '... of a system's characteristics...' – it should be read '... of system's characteristics' 12. Verse 101: '...such a technology...' – it should read '...such technology...' 13. Verse 119: 'Intention' – it should read 'intention' 14. Verse 140: 'constructs' – it should read 'construct' 15. Verses 165-166: '... relationships were found...' – it should read '... relationships that were found...' 16. Verse 327: 'modeling' – it should read 'modelling' 17. Verse 408: 'Figure [4-1]' – do you mean 'Figure 2'? 18. Verse 437: 'Attitude' – it should read 'attitude' , Verse 480: 'is' – it should read 'are'	
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Verses 113-114: 'The same holds true from the system's viewpoint.' Is it an English expression? 2. Verses 201-202: 'Hence, it is assumed that system quality directly or indirectly through PU and PEOU, positively effects affects users' intention to use the e-learning system.' I don't understand the sentence. 3. Verses 267: I think 'Perceived' should read 'perceived' 4. Verses 269: I think 'Perceived Usefulness' should read 'perceived usefulness' 5. Verses 365: '...analysis should...' – I think it should read '...analysis which should...' 6. Was the survey questionnaire distributed electronically or in paper for students? Please, state it in the manuscript. 7. Table 5: I see below '***p<.001, **p<0.05, *p<0.01' – it is clear but I don't see to which values in the table the asterix (*) refer.	1. The expression is deleted from the manuscript. 2. The sentence were rewritten. 3. Corrected 4. Corrected 5. Corrected 6. Clarified (verses 314-320) I add a paragraph "When potential participants were first contacted, they were provided with an information sheet which described the project aims and what would be expected from them. It also assured voluntary participation and confidentiality and stated that participants were able to withdraw from the study at any point without penalty. Participants were informed that return of a completed survey would be taken as consent. However, as returned surveys would be anonymous, the information sheet advised that withdrawal of data after return of the survey would not be possible." 7. Clarified at the table 5
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. Please, put the references in the order they appear in the manuscript: 1, 2, 3, etc. 2. Was the survey questionnaire distributed to students anonymous and on voluntary basis? Please, state it in the manuscript. 3. I corrected some language mistakes and I strongly recommend to authors to conduct English proof reading of the manuscript, preferably with the help of some native speaker. Authors should also decide if American or British	1. Corrected 2. Clarified (verses 314-320) 3. Corrected



SDI Review Form 1.6

	English is used in the paper and follow the rules of their choice. 4. It is indeed a serious limitation of this study that it was conducted on students who didn't take part in e-learning courses.	
--	---	--