



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_10070
Title of the Manuscript:	Multifactorial Analyses of Morphological Traits of Helmeted Guinea Fowls <i>Numidia meleagris</i>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The author may read more the instruction for authors manual in order to correct the bibliographical references: 1. In the abstract, the correlation coefficients and the probabilities values may be given for better judgement of the linkage degree between morphological traits. 2. In the introduction, it will be interesting to justify in one paragraph the choice made on the helmeted guinea fowl 3. In the section Material and methods; at the line 76; the sentence "The average rainfall was 120.6 – 146.2 mm in the data collection periode" may be replaced by "Average rainfall fluctuate between 120.6 and 146.2 mm during data collection period". 4. In the section Material and methods; at the line 83; "bounded" may be replaced by "limited" 5. In the section Material and methods; at the line 105; the title of figure 2 may be "Study areas in Kaduna State" instead of "Kaduna state showing the study areas" 6. Similarly, on line 108, the title of figure 3 may be "Study areas in Katsina State" instead of "Katsina state showing the study areas" 7. In the section Data Analysis; the procedure used for the determination of the relationships	The observations/corrections were noted and have been effected in the manuscript.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	between the different morphological data must be described; 8. In the section Results, at the line 198, the correlation coefficients and the probabilities values may be given in brackets for better judgement of the linkage degree between morphological traits. 9. In the section Discussion, the references (11; 12; 13; 14; 15; 16) used are very old and may be updated if it is possible. 10. In the section References, at line 289; I.A. may be IA. At the end of the line 298, the bracket (may be delete. At the line 299, after 2009, delete the surplus of punctuation (;).	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	11. The manuscript appears to be a very well designed work with results revealing satisfactory scientific work	