



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_10631
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Potential of Solid Waste for Fertilizer Utilisation in the Akosombo Township, Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Revision of typing errors in the manuscript	Corrections have been made to that effect
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Inclusion of three papers (below) which are highly relevant to this study and to readers from developing countries Alternative treatments for the municipalsolidwaste and domesticsewage in Campinas, Brazil, Resources, Conservation & Recycling, 81 (2013) 24-30. Analysis of the potential of municipal solid waste in Brazil, Environmental Development, vol. 4 ,pp 105-113, 2012. MSW Solid waste recycling as a mechanism for the social inclusion in Brazil, <i>Int. J. Environment and Waste Management</i>, Vol. 12, No. 3,pp264-279, 2013.	The said article were no easy accessible. Required payment online.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		