



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_11689
Title of the Manuscript:	Visual Features for Endoscopic Bleeding Detection
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	- The paper is written in a descriptive way, author should provide block diagrams, flow charts, mathematical equations to describe their approach. - Should provide a comparison with other works and show how this work has better performance.	A flowchart illustrating the proposed approach was included into the paper. An efficiency comparison to two implementations of bleeding detection algorithms from the literature was added.
Minor REVISION comments	- Some images of the data set can be shown. - The features can be shown in images for better understanding.	An appendix containing 18 images that illustrate the features was included into the paper. I hope this can also serve as a demonstration of the images in the dataset.
Optional/General comments		